

Online article and related content current as of May 18, 2010.

Depression in At-Risk Adolescents and Their Parents

Myrna M. Weissman; Ardesheer Talati

JAMA. 2009;302(11):1167 (doi:10.1001/jama.2009.1329)

http://jama.ama-assn.org/cgi/content/full/302/11/1167

Correction Contact me if this article is corrected.

Citations Contact me when this article is cited.

Topic collections Pediatrics; Adolescent Medicine; Psychiatry; Adolescent Psychiatry; Cognitive

Therapy; Depression

Contact me when new articles are published in these topic areas.

Subscribe http://jama.com/subscribe

Permissions permissions@ama-assn.org http://pubs.ama-assn.org/misc/permissions.dtl

Email Alerts http://jamaarchives.com/alerts

Reprints/E-prints reprints@ama-assn.org

Depression in At-Risk Adolescents and Their Parents

To the Editor: In their randomized controlled trial, Dr Garber and colleagues¹ provided important information on the successful prevention of adolescent-onset depression using an evidence-based psychotherapy in high-risk adolescents. In their study, if a parent was currently depressed the adolescent did not benefit from treatment. This observation is consistent with other data suggesting that if a currently depressed mother is successfully treated, her children's symptoms are more likely to improve.

An ancillary study of children of parents receiving treatment as part of the Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression (STAR*D) found that remission of maternal depression after 3 months of medication was significantly associated with reductions in the children's diagnoses and symptoms.² Conversely, a failure to remit was associated with worsening in child outcomes, paralleling observations by Garber et al that cognitive behavioral therapy did not confer a protective effect among the offspring of currently depressed parents. The STAR*D findings were sustained in offspring of mothers who remitted later after the first 3 months of treatment³ and were supported by consistent findings in an independent randomized controlled trial covering 9 months and using another evidence-based psychotherapy for the depressed mothers.⁴

The combined findings of these studies suggest that a range of efficacious treatments can be recommended to prevent adolescent-onset major depression, targeting both highrisk adolescents and currently depressed parents.

Myrna M. Weissman, PhD mmw3@columbia.edu Ardesheer Talati, PhD Department of Psychiatry Columbia University New York, New York

Financial Disclosure: Dr Weissman reported having received investigator-initiated grants from GlaxoSmithKline, Eli Lilly, and the Josiah Macy Foundation that are no longer active. She reported currently receiving research funding from the National Institute of Mental Health, the National Institute on Drug Abuse, the National Alliance for Research on Schizophrenia and Depression, and the Sackler Foundation and receiving royalties from Oxford University Press, Perseus Press, the American Psychiatric Association Press, and MultiHealth Systems. Dr Talati reported no disclosures.

- **1.** Garber J, Clarke GN, Weersing VR, et al. Prevention of depression in at-risk adolescents: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2009;301(21):2215-2224.
- **2.** Weissman MM, Pilowsky DJ, Wickramaratne PJ, et al; STAR*D-Child Team. Remissions in maternal depression and child psychopathology: a STAR*D-child report. *JAMA*. 2006;295(12):1389-1398.
- **3.** Pilowsky DJ, Wickramaratne P, Talati A, et al. Children of depressed mothers 1 year after the initiation of maternal treatment: findings from the STAR*D-Child Study. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2008;165(9):1136-1147.
- **4.** Swartz HA, Frank É, Zuckoff A, et al. Brief interpersonal psychotherapy for depressed mothers whose children are receiving psychiatric treatment. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2008;165(9):1155-1162.

In Reply: Drs Weissman and Talati present converging evidence that treating parental depression may be important for children's adjustment and recommended that interventions should target currently depressed parents and their high-risk offspring. Several empirical findings support this

©2009 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

perspective. First, offspring of depressed parents are at increased risk for depression and other psychiatric disorders. Second, interventions aimed at treating currently depressed children^{1,2} and preventing depression in at-risk youth (in our study) have been found to be less effective when a parent is currently depressed. Third, treatment of depression in parents of children with current psychopathology resulted in lower levels of children's self-reported depressive symptoms.³ Fourth, a significant association has been found between remission in mothers' depression and decreases in their children's symptoms and disorders.⁴ Thus, it is fair to conclude that reducing depression in parents likely will yield more positive outcomes in their children.

Important questions remain, however, particularly regarding mechanisms. Parental depression may be a marker of a variety of non-mutually exclusive processes underlying the intergenerational transmission of depression, including genetic vulnerability, neurobiological dysregulation, neuroticism, a chronic and severe course, exposure to stressful life events, and dysfunctional parenting behaviors. It is not clear which of these factors accounts for the poor outcomes found in some offspring of depressed parents and which factors change with remission of parents' depression. The study by Weissman et al⁴ acknowledged that the observed association between maternal remission and child improvement could have been due to some shared third variable (eg, reduced family stress, less genetic vulnerability) or to reverse causation (changes in children's symptoms leading to reductions in maternal depressive symptoms).

Experimental studies allow for a more direct test of the effects on children of treating their parents' depression. Swartz et al³ found that treatment of depressed mothers resulted in lower levels of depressive symptoms in both the mothers and children, and the authors speculated that changes in maternal depression mediated the effect of treatment condition on children's depressive symptoms over time. Further research is needed that explicitly tests this mediation hypothesis.

Moreover, studies need to examine whether reducing depression in parents is sufficient to improve their children's functioning or if it is necessary to more directly target the hypothesized underlying mechanisms (eg, parenting behaviors, coping with stress). Thus, the current state of knowledge indicates that programs aimed at reducing or preventing depression in youth need to attend to the extent of depression in the parents. Whether treatment of parental depression alone is necessary or sufficient, or needs to be augmented with programs that specifically target putative mechanisms, remains an important question for future investigation.

Judy Garber, PhD judy.garber@vanderbilt.edu Department of Psychology and Human Development Vanderbilt University Nashville, Tennessee

(Reprinted) JAMA, September 16, 2009—Vol 302, No. 11 **1167**

Greg Clarke, PhD
Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research
Portland, Oregon
V. Robin Weersing, PhD
Child and Adolescent Anxiety and Mood Program
San Diego State University and University of California San Diego
Joint Doctoral Program in Clinical Psychology

Financial Disclosures: None reported.

- **1.** Brent DA, Kolko DJ, Birmaher B, et al. Predictors of treatment efficacy in a clinical trial of three psychosocial treatments for adolescent depression. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 1998;37(9):906-914.
- Clarke GN, Hornbrook M, Lynch F, et al. Group cognitive-behavioral treatment for depressed adolescent offspring of depressed parents in a health maintenance organization. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2002;41(3):305-313.
- **3.** Swartz HA, Frank E, Zuckoff A, et al. Brief interpersonal psychotherapy for depressed mothers whose children are receiving psychiatric treatment. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2008;165(9):1155-1162.
- **4.** Weissman MM, Pilowsky DJ, Wickramaratne PJ, et al; STAR*D-Child Team. Remissions in maternal depression and child psychopathology: a STAR*D-child report. *JAMA*. 2006:295(12):1389-1398.

Substance Abuse and Violent Crime in Patients With Schizophrenia

To the Editor: The study by Dr Fazel and colleagues¹ linked treatment for schizophrenia, conviction for violent offenses, and a history of substance misuse. The authors found that 27.6% of a sample of patients with schizophrenia and a coexisting substance use disorder committed a violent offense, compared with 8.5% of a control group of patients with schizophrenia without a substance use disorder and 5.1% of a general population control group. They concluded that schizophrenia alone has a modest association with violent offending on the basis of the small difference in the rate of conviction for violent offenses between the population control group and the non–substance-using schizophrenia group.

We are concerned that methods used in this study have 2 important limitations that might have led to a significant underestimation of the risk of violent crimes committed by people with schizophrenia. First, the population control group included people with substance use disorders. Given an association between substance use and violent crime, ² it is probable that a population control group including people with substance use disorders would have a substantially higher rate of violent offenses than a non–substance-using group. Therefore, the comparison between this group and the non–substance-using schizophrenia group would underestimate the difference in the rates of violent crime.

Second, the study excluded patients with schizophrenia who committed a violent offense during their first episode of psychotic illness and were treated for the first time in prison. In a meta-analysis, we estimated that 38.5% of homicides during psychotic illness were committed by patients who had not received prior treatment.³ It is therefore likely that this exclusion led to a significant underestimate of rates of violent crime in both substance-using and non-substance-using schizophrenia groups.

1168 JAMA, September 16, 2009—Vol 302, No. 11 (Reprinted)

Fazel et al¹ concluded that their findings should reduce stigma about the relation between schizophrenia and violence. We are concerned that a diminution of their important findings by underestimation of the true violence risk in schizophrenia might actually increase stigma by drawing attention away from the need to develop policies to prevent and treat schizophrenia, particularly combined with substance misuse.

Glen Smith, MBBS glsmith@nsccahs.health.nsw.gov.au Northern Sydney Central Coast Area Health Service Sydney, Australia Matthew Large, MBBS Olav Nielssen, MBBS, MCrim School of Psychiatry University of New South Wales Sydney

Financial Disclosures: Dr Smith reported receiving a special training fellowship from the New South Wales Institute of Psychiatry. Dr Large reported receiving a grant in aid of research from Janssen-Cilag. Dr Nielssen reported receiving a grant in aid of research from Janssen-Cilag; participating in advisory boards for Sanofi and Hospira; and receiving speaker's fees from Janssen-Cilag, Lilly, Bristol Myers Squibb, and AstraZeneca.

- 1. Fazel S, Långström N, Hjern A, Grann M, Lichtenstein P. Schizophrenia, substance abuse, and violent crime. *JAMA*. 2009;301(19):2016-2023.
- 2. Grann M, Fazel S. Substance misuse and violent crime: Swedish population study. BMJ. 2004;328(7450):1233-1234.
- **3.** Nielssen O, Large M. Rates of homicide during the first episode of psychosis and after treatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis [published online November 5, 2008]. *Schizophr Bull.* doi:10.1093/schbul/sbn144.

In Reply: Dr Smith and colleagues raise concerns that our longitudinal analyses may have underestimated the risk of violent crime in schizophrenia. Specifically, they suggest that the rate of violent crime in patients without comorbid substance abuse should be compared with individuals in the general population who are not substance abusers. We believe that this is a different question. Because substance abuse is highly prevalent¹ and possibly treatable in schizophrenia,² our approach has potentially important implications for psychiatric services and public health.

Nevertheless, when analyzing the data as suggested by Smith et al, the adjusted odds ratio is 1.6 (95% confidence interval, 1.4-1.8) for patients with schizophrenia who are not substance abusers compared with population controls who are not substance abusers. However, the sensitivity of register-based data on substance abuse diagnoses in the general population is likely to be worse than for schizophrenia since inpatient admissions (which are very common among individuals with schizophrenia) allow for the assessment of substance abuse. Despite this caveat, the new analysis does not change our interpretation. We note that the MacArthur Violence Risk Assessment Study (which included 160 patients with schizophrenia), another of the very few longitudinal studies in this field, found comparable risk estimates for schizophrenia and other serious mental disorders among persons who were not substance abusers.3,4

Smith et al also raise the possibility that using 2 separate discharge diagnoses as a case criterion may have underes-

©2009 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.